NRS Project ID: 2324-A001 Pupil Census update 2020-2022 - data ingest



Stage 1: preprocessing - data quality of matching fields		
Pupil Census records	2,122,103	
Pupil Census individuals	831,412	
valid postcode	2,122,103	100.0%
valid gender	2,122,103	100.0%
valid date of birth	2,121,783	99.98%
where year of birth < 2002 (oldest is 1900)	318	0.0%
where year of birth > 2018 (youngest is 2021)	2	0.0%
where month of birth = 1	174,568	8.2%
- January month of birth expectation based on uniform distribution across calendar year	180,110	8.5%
where day of birth = 1	70,233	3.3%
 day of birth = 1 expectation based on uniform distribution across months 	69,720	3.3%
Pupil Census 2019 read-through indexes - records	1,759,417	
Pupil Census 2019 read-through indexes - individuals	644,624	
new records	362,686	
PII for new pupils	205,678	
new pupils	186,788	

NRS Project ID: 2324-A001 Pupil census update, new pupils 2020-2022 - data ingest



Stage 2: BigMatch linkage against the population spine

BigMatch is a linkage software program developed and used in-house by the Statistical Research Division, U.S. Bureau of Census. It has been designed to undertake timely matching of very large files (e.g. linking the US census, 300 million x 300 million).

The program is strictly a linkage engine and implements traditional probabilistic record linkage methodology.

The Bigmatch program is designed to extract plausible matches from a large file using several blocking criteria without having to sort the file before each blocking run.

Further details at https://www.census.gov/srd/papers/pdf/rrc2007-01.pdf

In this run, probabilistic weights and match categories similar to those piloted in the pupil census linkage were generated in SAS on the plausible matches generated by BigMatch - see http://www.isdscotland.org/Products-and-Services/eDRIS/Docs/20150421-Linking-ScotXed-Data.pdf

The BigMatch parameters file was set up with the following heirarchical blocking criteria :

Block number	Block description
0	Exact matches on Postcode, Sex, DOB
1	Matches on Postcode & DOB
2	Matches on 1st 6 characters of Postcode, Sex, DOB
3	Matches on Postcode, Sex, Year & Month of Birth
4	Matches on Postcode, Sex, Year & Day of Month of Birth
5	Matches on Postcode, Sex, Month & Day of Birth
6	Matches on 1st 5 characters of Postcode, Sex, DOB
7	Matches on 1st 4 characters of Postcode, Sex, DOB
8	Matches on Postcode, Sex & Year of Birth

Number of pairs above threshold score output from all blocks per batch:

			<u>Unique</u>	<u>Unique</u>		
			censusID/spineID	<u>censusID</u>	Unique spineID	Unique censusID/spineID
			combinations above	above_	<u>above</u>	combinations at best
Batch Number	censusID in batch	Number of pairs	<u>threshold(s)</u>	threshold(s)	<u>threshold(s)</u>	match score
1	205,678	755,975	405,580	69,259	287,261	189,191
TOTAL	205,678	755,975	405,580	69,259	287,261	189,191

Stage 3: deduplication

Identify where there are duplicate censusID across multiple spineID

Number of censusID/spineID combinations at best match score (per censusID)	189,191
Number of censusID matched to single spineID at best match score	176,311
Number of unique censusID	184,032
An automated process is carried out in order to ensure that each censusID can appear a maximum of o final linked dataset.	nly once in the
Step 1: Where censusID spans>1 spineID in same block retain lowest ordered spineID	184,033
Step 2: Where censusID spans>1 spineID in different blocks, drop higher numbered block(s)	184,032
Pupil Census records with best matches to the spine	184,032
Percentage of Pupil Census records with best matches to the spine	98.5%
Pupil Census records with minimum threshold best matches after re-categorisation (see Step4)	178,081
Percentage of Pupil Census records with best matches after re-categorisation (see Step4)	95.3%
Unique seeded spineID numbers amongst minimum threshold best matches	176,040

Stage 4: match categories

Degree of specificity for EAS datasets (ADR-S Project Request)

For Education datasets that are ADR-S ingested, **Exact / Safe / Minimum** are categories referring to the guality of the matches:

Unique Exact is the most stringent category, but with the highest precision. It only includes unique exact matches for sex, postcode, and date of birth (DEFAULT for projects). It excludes same sex twins.

Safe includes also high confidence matches: exact match for sex, exact match for date of birth, and partial match for postcode, this slightly increases the match rate, but also increases the risk of some incorrect matches

Minimum* matches are those which meet the minimal threshold: exact match for sex, exact match for postcode, and two out of three matches from the three fields of date of birth (dd/mm/yy) - this offers the highest match rate, but introduces many false positives.

Competing matches (e.g., same sex twins) are included only as minimum. NRS strongly advises against their inclusion because it introduces many duplicate matches, involving incorrect links, that in many cases will be impossible for the researcher to resolve.



Safe and Minimum too; if a match is Safe, it will also be considered Minimum



* Minimum matches have been called Optimal in the past. The name was changed as the word Optimal was misleading to represent the lowest quality of matches

Number of initial best matches - by BigMatch blocking strategy

BestBlock	Description	Frequency	Percent
	Exact matches on Postcode, Sex, DOB	176,417	95.9%
1	Matches on Postcode & DOB (missing gender)	0	0.0%
2	Matches on 1st 6 characters of Postcode, Sex, DOB	527	0.3%
3	Matches on Postcode, Sex, Year & Month of Birth	646	0.4%
4	Matches on Postcode, Sex, Year & Day of Month of Birth	312	0.2%
5	Matches on Postcode, Sex, Month & Day of Birth	1,060	0.6%
6	Matches on 1st 5 characters of Postcode, Sex, DOB	1,936	1.1%
7	Matches on 1st 4 characters of Postcode, Sex, DOB	2,591	1.4%
8	Matches on Postcode, Sex & Year of Birth	543	0.3%
Overall		184,032	100.0%

Number of best matches by linkage criteria

			Precision* Crude
	N	<u>% of cohort</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Minimum threshold links	178,081	95.3%	98.0%
Safe links	172,065	92.1%	99.8%
Unique exact links	170,982	91.5%	99.9%

*Precision estimate based on ScotXed linkages - see http://www.isdscotland.org/Products-and-Services/eDRIS/Docs/20150421-Linking-ScotXed-Data.pdf

Number of initial best matches - by broad match categories and linkage criteria

Broad Category	Description	Minimum th	reshold links	Safe lin	ks	Unique exa	act links
1	Exact Match (including ties).	175,244	93.8%	170,982	91.5%	170,982	91.5%
2	Mis-match on last character of standardised 7-character postcode.	1,097	0.6%	1,083	0.6%	0	0.0%
3	Mis-match on one of either year, month or day of date of birth.	298	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
4	ISD MRL linkage weight >24.0.	1,442	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
5	Non-links	8,708	4.7%	14,724	7.9%	15,807	8.5%
Overall		186,789	100.0%	186,789	100.0%	186,789	100.0%



NRS Project ID: 2324-A001 Pupil Census update, new pupils 2020-2022 - data ingest

Stage 5: Pupil Census 2020-22 - linkage rates by demography

Sex				Minimum	threshold links			Sa	afe links			Unique	e exact links	
					Total	Row %			Total	Row %			Total	Row %
Sex		Frequency	Sex				Sex				Sex			
1	Male	95,728	1		91,310	95.4%	1		88,223	92.2%	1		87,670	91.6%
2	Female	91,060	2		86,771	95.3%	2		83,842	92.1%	2		83,312	91.5%
Total		186,788	Total	Frequency	178,081	95.3%	Total	Frequency	172,065	92.1%	Total	Frequency	170,982	91.5%

Year of bir	th			Minimum	threshold links			Sa	afe links			Uniqu	e exact links	
					Total	Row %			Total	Row %			Total	Row %
тов		Frequency	YOB		Total	KOW /6	УОВ		Total	KOW /6	YOB		Total	KOW /8
<=2001			<=2001		0	0.0%	<=2001		0	0.0%	<=2001		0	0.0%
2002			2002		31	83.8%			31	83.8%			30	81.1%
2003			2003		192	70.1%			180	65.7%		i — —	177	64.6%
2004			2004		482	65.0%			459	61.9%		i	449	60.5%
2005			2005		940	76.9%			909	74.4%		i	896	73.3%
2006		1,617			1,313				1,252	77.4%	2006	i	1,232	76.2%
2007		1,782	2007		1,452	81.5%	2007		1,410	79.1%	2007	i	1,386	77.8%
2008		1,980	2008		1,659	83.8%	2008		1,587	80.2%	2008	1	1,563	78.9%
2009		2,116	2009		1,829	86.4%	2009		1,744	82.4%	2009	1	1,719	81.2%
2010		2,186	2010		1,924	88.0%	2010		1,859	85.0%	2010	1	1,836	84.0%
2011		2,351	2011		2,106	89.6%	2011		2,002	85.2%	2011	1	1,970	83.8%
2012		2,621	2012		2,329	88.9%	2012		2,239	85.4%	2012	1	2,203	84.1%
2013		2,668	2013		2,418	90.6%	2013		2,316	86.8%	2013	1	2,282	85.5%
2014		4,338	2014		4,039	93.1%	2014		3,854	88.8%	2014		3,805	87.7%
2015		52,587	2015		51,112	97.2%	2015		49,446	94.0%	2015		49,199	93.6%
2016		55,659	2016		53,896	96.8%	2016		52,144	93.7%	2016		51,900	93.2%
2017		50,634	2017		48,593	96.0%	2017		46,993	92.8%	2017		46,722	92.3%
2018		3,872	2018		3,766	97.3%	2018		3,640	94.0%			3,613	93.3%
>=2019		2	>=2019		0	0.0%	>=2019		0	0.0%	>=2019		0	0.0%
Total		186,788	Total		178,081	95.3%	Total		172,065	92.1%	Total		170,982	91.5%

SIMD 202) decile			Minimum	threshold links			Sa	afe links			Unique	e exact links	
SIMD					Total	Row %			Total	Row %			Total	Row %
2020			SIMD				SIMD				SIMD			
decile		Frequency	2020				2020				2020			
1	Most deprived	22,309	1		21,247	99.1%	1		20,439	91.6%	1		20,257	90.8%
2		20,394	2		19,529	99.1%	2		18,844	92.4%	2		18,729	91.8%
3		18,124	3		17,285	99.1%	3		16,796	92.7%	3		16,703	92.2%
4		17,872	4		17,020	99.2%	4		16,451	92.0%	4		16,269	91.0%
5		16,720	5		15,921	99.1%	5		15,366	91.9%	5		15,274	91.4%
6		17,004	6		16,227	99.1%	6		15,661	92.1%	6		15,562	91.5%
7		18,235	7		17,315	99.1%	7		16,757	91.9%	7		16,628	91.2%
8		20,586	8		19,669	99.2%	8		19,007	92.3%	8		18,928	91.9%
9		18,774	9		17,947	99.2%	9		17,337	92.3%	9		17,283	92.1%
10	Least deprived	16,620	10		15,877	99.2%	10		15,377	92.5%	10		15,325	92.2%
99	Missing	150	99		44	82.5%	99		30	20.0%	99		24	16.0%
Total		186,788	Total		178,081	95.3%	Total		172,065	92.1%	Total		170,982	91.5%

NRS Project ID: 2324-A001 Pupil Census 2007-19 and 2020-2022 - data ingest Stage 6: Indexing Summary

Input: pupil census 2007-19 and 2020-22

Records for previously recorded pupils 2007-19 Records for previously recorded pupils 2020-22 Records for new pupils 2020-22 Total records 2007-22	10,525,879 1,759,417 362,686 12,647,982	
Previously recorded pupils 2007-19 Previously recorded pupils 2020-22 New pupils 2020-22 Total pupils 2007-22	1,457,198 644,624 186,788 1,643,986	
Demographic keys for previously recorded pupils 2007-19 Demographic keys for previously recorded pupils 2020-22 Demographic keys for new pupils 2020-22 Total demographic keys 2007-22	8,907,450 1,759,417 362,686 11,029,553	
Storage keys for previously recorded pupils 2007-19 Storage keys for previously recorded pupils 2020-22 Storage keys for new pupils 2020-22 Total storage keys 2007-22	8,908,491 1,759,417 362,686 11,030,594	
Output: pupil census 2007-19	0 2	6Match Rate
Output: pupil census 2007-19 Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset	<u>9</u> 12,195,733 1,436,256	<u>6Match Rate</u> 99.3% 98.6%
Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset	12,195,733	99.3%
Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset	12,195,733 1,436,256	99.3%
Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Distinct seeded spineID numbers	12,195,733 1,436,256	99.3%
Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Distinct seeded spineID numbers Output: pupil census 2020-22 Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset	12,195,733 1,436,256 1,412,137 348,106	99.3% 98.6% 96.0%
Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Distinct seeded spineID numbers Output: pupil census 2020-22 Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset	12,195,733 1,436,256 1,412,137 348,106 178,081	99.3% 98.6% 96.0%
Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Distinct seeded spineID numbers Output: pupil census 2020-22 Records matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Individuals matched to the spine in Pupil Census dataset Distinct seeded spineID numbers	12,195,733 1,436,256 1,412,137 348,106 178,081	99.3% 98.6% 96.0%